

JUN-24-2005 16:32

BOXER GERSON

510 587 7690

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5

6 MAX ROBINSON,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 CORPORATE EXPRESS, INC., and DOES
10 1 through 10, inclusive

11 Defendants.

Case No.: C05-01720 WHA

12
13 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
14 ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS**

Complaint Filed: January 6, 2005

15 The parties stipulate to participate in the following ADR process:

16 **Court Processes:**☐

Arbitration

☐

ENE

☒

Mediation

17 (To provide additional information regarding timing of session, preferred subject matter expertise of
18 neutral, or other issues, please attach a separate sheet.)

19 **Private Process:**☐

Private ADR (please identify process and provider)

20
21 Dated: 6/24/05


Attorney for Plaintiff

22 Dated: June 24, 2005

Attorney for Defendant

23
24 IT IS SO ORDERED:25 Dated: July 15, 2005

26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



1 *Robinson v. Corporate Express, et al.*
2 U.S. District Court - Northern District of California Case No.: C05-01720 WHA

3 **ATTACHMENT TO STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**
4 **SELECTING ADR PROCESS / ADR CERTIFICATION**

5 Regarding the stipulated ADR process of mediation, the parties seek to mediate this
6 matter within thirty (30) to forty-five (45) days.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28